

Mr Daniel Ives Tarmac Trading Limited Eaton Hall Quarry Manchester Road Congleton Cheshire, CW12 2LU

> 11th February 2021 Our Ref: TOHA/21/9792/1/SS Your Ref: PO 2002142717

Dear Sirs

Topsoil Analysis Report: Eaton Hall Topsoil

We have completed the analysis of the soil sample recently submitted, referenced *Eaton Hall Topsoil*, and have pleasure reporting our findings.

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the sample for general landscape purposes (trees, shrubs, amenity grass). In addition, this sample has been assessed to determine its compliance with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil).

This report presents the results of analysis for the sample submitted to our office, and it should be considered 'indicative' of the topsoil source. The report and results should therefore not be used by third parties as a means of verification or validation testing or waste designation purposes, especially after the topsoil has left the Tarmac Trading Limited site.

SAMPLE EXAMINATION

The sample was described as a dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 4/2), slightly moist, friable, very slightly calcareous, stone free SAND with a single grain structure*. The sample contained a moderate proportion of organic fines and occasional woody fragments. No unusual odours, deleterious materials, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

*This appraisal of soil structure was made from examination of a disturbed sample(s). Structure is a key soil characteristic that may only be accurately assessed by examination in an in-situ state.

ANALYTICAL SCHEDULE

The sample was submitted to a UKAS and MCERTS accredited laboratory for a range of physical and chemical tests to confirm the composition and fertility of the soil, and the concentration of selected potential contaminants. The following parameters were determined:

- detailed particle size analysis (% 5 sands, silt, clay);
- stone content (2-20mm, 20-50mm, >50mm);
- pH and electrical conductivity values;
- exchangeable sodium percentage;
- major plant nutrients (N, P, K, Mg);
- organic matter content;
- C:N ratio;
- heavy metals (As, B, Cd, Cr, Cu, Pb, Hg, Ni, Se, Zn);
- total cyanide and total (mono) phenols;
- speciated PAHs (US EPA16 suite);
- aromatic and aliphatic TPH (C5-C35 banding);
- benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylene (BTEX);
- asbestos screen.

The results are presented on the attached Certificate of Analysis and an interpretation of the results is given below.

RESULTS OF ANALYSIS

Detailed Particle Size Analysis and Stone Content

The sample fell into the *sand* texture class and the particle size distribution falls outside of the specified range indicated in *Figure 1* of *BS3882:2015*. However, further detailed particle size analysis revealed the sample to have a sufficiently narrow particle size distribution and a large proportion of sand falling into the *fine sand* (0.15-0.25mm) and *medium sand* (0.25-0.50mm) classes. This, along with the organic matter content of the soil, should offset the high sand content and provide a suitable growing medium for general landscape applications.

The sample was stone free and as such, stones should not restrict the use of the soil for general landscape purposes.

pH and Electrical Conductivity Values

The sample was strongly alkaline in reaction (pH 8.6), with a pH value that slightly exceeded the maximum specified value given in BS3882:2015 – Table 1 (pH 8.5).

The electrical conductivity (salinity) value (water extract) was moderate, which indicates that soluble salts should not be present at levels that would be harmful to plants.

The electrical conductivity value by CaSO₄ extract (3339 μ S/cm - BS3882 requirement) slightly exceeded the maximum specified value (3300 μ S/cm) given in BS3882:2015 – Table 1.

Exchangeable Sodium Percentage

The exchangeable sodium percentage result for the sample was moderately high. This indicates that the soil contains significant levels of sodium ('sodic'), which could impede plant growth due to effects of sodicity and cause toxic effects on sensitive plants and seedlings

Organic Matter and Fertility Status

The sample was adequate to well supplied with organic matter and all major plant nutrients.

The C:N ratio of the sample was acceptable for general landscape purposes.

TOHA/21/9792/1/SS/Feb Page 2

Potential Contaminants

With reference to BS3882:2015 - Table 1: Notes 3 and 4, there is a recommendation to confirm levels of potential contaminants in relation to the topsoil's proposed end use. This includes human health, environmental protection and metals considered toxic to plants. In the absence of site-specific assessment criteria, the concentrations that affect human health have been compared with the residential with homegrown produce land use in the Suitable For Use Levels (S4ULs) presented in The LQM/CIEH S4ULs for Human Health Risk Assessment (2015) and the DEFRA SP1010: Development of Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SLs) for Assessment of Land Affected by Contamination – Policy Companion Document (2014).

Of the potential contaminants determined, none was found at levels that exceeded their guideline values.

Phytotoxic Contaminants

Of the phytotoxic (toxic to plants) contaminants determined (copper, nickel, zinc), none was found at levels that exceeded the maximum permissible levels specified in BS3882:2015 – Table 1.

CONCLUSION

The purpose of the analysis was to determine the suitability of the sample for general landscape purposes (trees, shrubs, amenity grass). In addition, this sample has been assessed to determine its compliance with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil).

From the soil examination and subsequent laboratory analysis, the sample was described as a strongly alkaline, moderately saline, very slightly calcareous, stone free sand with a single grain structure. The sample contained sufficient reserves of organic matter and all major plant nutrients. Of the potential contaminants determined, none exceeded their respective guideline values.

Based on our findings, the topsoil represented by this sample would not be considered suitable for general landscape purposes due the high exchangeable sodium percentage.

In this instance, we suggest that a further 5 no. 'spot' samples are submitted from the topsoil source to be tested to determine their exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP). This additional data should indicate the possible range of ESP values present within the topsoil and the significance of sodium salts in relation to the re-use of the topsoil for landscape purposes.

The topsoil was largely compliant with the requirements of the British Standard for Topsoil (BS3882:2015 – Specification for Topsoil – Table 1, Multipurpose Topsoil), with the exception of the elevated total sand content and slightly high pH value. On this occasion and in the context of the other results, these would be considered minor non-compliances.

TOHA/21/9792/1/SS/Feb Page 3

We hope this report meets with your approval and provides the necessary information. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if we can be of further assistance.

Yours faithfully

Tilly Kimble-Wilde

BSc MSc

Graduate Soil Scientist

mkimble-Wilde

Rebecca Hollands BSc MSc MISoilSci Senior Soil Scientist

For & on behalf of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP

TOHA/21/9792/1/SS/Feb Page 4



Client:	Tarmac Trading Limited
Project:	Eaton Hall
Job:	Topsoil Analysis - BS3882:2015
Date:	11/02/2021
Joh Ref No:	TOHA/21/9792/1/SS

JOD REI NO. TORAZ 1/9/92/1/33	J			
Sample Reference	Eaton Hall Topsoil			
		Accreditation		
Clay (<0.002mm)	%	UKAS	5	
Silt (0.002-0.063mm)	%	UKAS	1	
Very Fine Sand (0.05-0.15mm)	%	UKAS	12	
Fine Sand (0.15-0.25mm)	%	UKAS	41	
Medium Sand (0.25-0.50mm)	%	UKAS	36	
Coarse Sand (0.50-1.0mm)	%	UKAS	3	
Very Coarse Sand (1.0-2.0mm)	%	UKAS	2	
Total Sand (0.063-2.0mm)	%	UKAS	94	
Texture Class (UK Classification)	O/ DIA/	UKAS	S	
Stones (2-20mm) Stones (20-50mm)	% DW % DW	GLP GLP	0	
Stones (>50mm)	% DW	GLP	0	
Stories (200mm)	/6 DVV	GLF		
pH Value (1:2.5 water extract)	units	UKAS	8.6	
Electrical Conductivity (1:2.5 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS	1243	
Electrical Conductivity (1:2:0 water extract)	uS/cm	UKAS	3339	
Exchangeable Sodium Percentage	%	UKAS	15.3	
Organic Matter (LOI)	%	UKAS	5.4	
Total Nitrogen (Dumas)	%	UKAS	0.20	
C : N Ratio	ratio	UKAS	16	
Extractable Phosphorus	mg/l	UKAS	58	
Extractable Potassium	mg/l	UKAS	1031	
Extractable Magnesium	mg/l	UKAS	125	
Total Arsenic (As)	mg/kg	MCERTS	2	
Total Cadmium (Cd)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.2	
Total Chromium (Cr)	mg/kg	MCERTS	3	
Hexavalent Chromium (Cr VI)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 4.0	
Total Copper (Cu)	mg/kg	MCERTS	17	
Total Lead (Pb)	mg/kg	MCERTS	8	
Total Mercury (Hg)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.3	
Total Nickel (Ni)	mg/kg	MCERTS	4	
Total Selenium (Se)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0	
Total Zinc (Zn)	mg/kg	MCERTS	40	
Water Soluble Boron (B)	mg/kg	MCERTS	2.5	
Total Cyanide (CN)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1	
Total (mono) Phenols	mg/kg	MCERTS	<1	
_				
Naphthalene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Fluorene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05	
Anthracene Fluoranthene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.05	
	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Pyrene Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Chrysene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Benzo(q,h,i)perylene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.05	
Total PAHs (sum USEPA16)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.8	
1.09.09				
Aliphatic TPH >C5 - C6	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aliphatic TPH >C6 - C8	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aliphatic TPH >C8 - C10	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aliphatic TPH >C10 - C12	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C12 - C16	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 2.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C16 - C21	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 8.0	
Aliphatic TPH >C21 - C35	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 8.0	
Aliphatic TPH (C5 - C35)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10	
Aromatic TPH >C5 - C7	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aromatic TPH >C7 - C8	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aromatic TPH >C8 - C10	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Aromatic TPH > C10 - C12	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 1.0	
Aromatic TPH > C12 - C16	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 2.0	
Aromatic TPH - C21 C25	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 10	
Aromatic TPH >C21 - C35	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 10	
Aromatic TPH (C5 - C35)	< 10			
Panzana	ma.//	MOEDTO	.0.004	
Benzene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
Toluene	mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.001	
Ethylbenzene	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
o-xylene p&m-xylene	mg/kg mg/kg	MCERTS MCERTS	< 0.001 < 0.001	
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	mg/kg	MCERTS	< 0.001	
mise (month) Tornary butyr Euler)	mg/ng	MOLIVIO	₹ 0.001	
Asbestos	ND/D	ISO 17025	Not-detected	
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.55 11020	. tot dotootod	

S = SAND

Visual Examination
The sample was described as a dark greyish brown (Munsell Colour 10YR 4/2), slightly moist, friable, very slightly calcareous, stone free SAND with a single grain structure. The sample contained a moderate proportion of organic fines and occasional woody fragments. No unusual odours, deleterious materials, roots or rhizomes of pernicious weeds were observed.

mkumble-Wilde

Tilly Kmble-Wilde BSc MSc Graduate Soil Scientist

Results of analysis should be read in conjunction with the report they were issued with

The contents of this certificate shall not be reproduced without the express written permission of Tim O'Hare Associates LLP.